Comparison of Prediction Methods for Air Pollution Data in Malaysia and Singapore
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Abstract—The process for analyzing and extracting useful information from a large database that employs one or more machine learning techniques is Data Mining. There are many data mining methods that can be used in a variety of data patterns. One of them is prediction modeling. This study compares several data mining performance methods for prediction such as Naïve Bayes, Random Tree, J48, and Rough Set to get the most powerful classifier to extract the knowledge of air pollution data. The parameters being used for observation in the performance of the prediction methods are correctly and incorrectly classified instances, the time taken, and kappa statistic. The experimental result reveals that Rough Set is extremely good for classifying the Air Pollutant Index (API) data from Malaysia and Singapore. Rough Set has the lowest error and the highest performance compared to other methods with the accuracy more than 97%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The level of air quality is getting lower due to the high level of air pollution, especially in urban area. The decrease of air quality level is also caused by many factors, like the number of vehicles, the number of factories and industrial development, land fires, stacking of garbage, natural disaster, and others [1]. It is necessary to measure and classify air quality every day. The measurement and classification results of air quality greatly assist the government in making a policy. This policy aims to control air pollution to achieve the best air quality standard for human and animal survival. Documentation of this results can be stored in a database with large scale because the data will increase to a large number.

With the development of information technology, it should be able to help find the hidden information knowledge in data with statistical and mathematical calculation methods [2]. This information later can be used as a policy that is more accurate, fast and efficient. In addition, information technology can also help spreading the information to the public that can access it anywhere and anytime in real time. Data mining can provide predictive capabilities for policy decision-making processes to predict precautions and alter the outcome of process that happened before [3]. Extraction of the model describes the importance of data classes and predict future of the data trends by using classification and also prediction. This method is also expected to optimize data management processes.

This study discusses several data mining methods and compares the performance of the methods for prediction such as Naïve Bayes, J48, Random Tree, and Rough Set to get the powerful classifier to extract the knowledge of air pollution data. Experiment and the result of this study will also be described in this paper followed by the conclusion and future work.

II. AIR POLLUTION DATA

Air pollution can be caused by many factors derived from human activities, such as factories, vehicles, garbage burning, agricultural waste, forest fires and also from the natural disaster such as volcanic eruptions that release dust, gas, and hot clouds. Air pollution carried out by human activities in a large numbers will also give a big impact on human life. Air pollution is the inclusion of substances, energy, and other components into the ambient air by human activities so that the quality of ambient air drops to a certain level [1]. It causes ambient air cannot fulfill its own function. Thus, a lot of air
pollution is caused by the human activity itself. The principle of air pollution occurs in the air when there is a pollutant element that comes from natural activity and also from the human activities. Air pollution can affect the balance of air quality and disruption for the survival of humans and animals [4].

Air pollution leads to several diseases and estimated 3 million deaths per year due to allergic conjunctivitis, allergic rhinitis, asthma, stroke, heart disease, lung cancer, and chronic respiratory disease [5][6][7]. Ambient air pollution affects developed, developing, low and middle-income countries, but the largest number of burden is Western Pacific and Southeast Asia regions [5]. People living in urban areas have air quality level higher than other areas. Quality monitor of ambient air data is used to assess exposure estimation in air pollution. Many places have established monitoring network with historical especially in urban areas.

Forest fire in Indonesia affects the terrible haze to Malaysia and Singapore in 2015. This forest fire is caused by El Niño storm that makes extreme weather condition. El Nino storm can raise the ocean temperature. Rainy season delayed and drought all over the country, affects the water supply, and many others [8]. This conditions happened again in early 2016 and even worse.

Air Pollutant Index (API) is a standard value of air pollution levels developed by The Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Malaysian Air Quality Guidelines (MAAGs) is the basic standard of the API in Malaysia that has been adapted to the recommendations of WHO [9].While there is a special institution to deal with issues related to smoke in Singapore, including early warning of fog, measurement, and dissemination of air quality information that is National Environment Agency (NEA). According to USEPA, the standard value of API will be divided into several levels that are [9]:

- **Good.** It means low pollution levels and it does not give a bad effect on human health at all. This level is indicated by a value less than 50.
- **Moderate.** This level does not give adverse effect to human health. It is indicated by value 51 to 100.
- **Unhealthy.** This level means that air pollution can aggrieve health condition especially those who have lung complications. It is indicated by value 101 to 200.
- **Very Unhealthy.** It means air pollution can affect human health and little tolerance for people with heart and lung complications to do physical exercise. This level is indicated by value 201 to 300.
- **Hazardous.** This level is indicated by value more than 300. This air pollution is very risky and also very dangerous to human health.

The API value is calculated by all parameter. The kind of air pollution parameters are Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂), Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂), Carbon Monoxide (CO), Particulate Matter (PM10), and Ozone (O₃) [4]. This API value is obtained for each air quality parameters. The final value of API is taken from the highest API value of calculation air quality result from all parameters. The API is viewed as the gold standard of government reference for characterizing and determining ambient air quality but the data is still limited by space and time [1]. The prediction of API value for air pollution can provide valuable information and enhance the scientific understanding about ambient air quality in Malaysia and Singapore. This ability can also provide a better understanding for the observed level of API to give the useful, accurate, fast, and effective information.

### III. Prediction Methods

Data mining is a process to analyze and extract useful information of a large database that employs one or more machine learning techniques [3]. Data mining is different from data warehouse. Data mining is a field that fully uses what the data warehouse produces [3], [10]. Data warehouse is assigned to query data from the raw database to provide data that can be used to handle management, reporting, and data mining. Then this data mining will extract the new information from the data provided by the data warehouse. There are many data mining methods that can be used in a variety of data patterns. One of them is prediction modeling. These methods will be used to answer the question regarding the obscurity. This methods will perform hypothesis validation, querying and reporting, multidimensional analysis, online analytic processing, and statistical analysis.

This study applies data mining by comparing four methods to find the most influential factor and to find the most accurate algorithm to utilize air pollution data that can be a useful information by rules generated. The following methods used for prediction modeling are:

#### A. Naïve Bayes

Naïve Bayes method uses probability and statistical knowledge by applying Bayes’ theorem. This classification method has been described in previous research [11][12]. The Bayes Theorem is described as [13]:

\[
P(c|F) = \frac{P(F|c)P(c)}{P(F)}
\]

(1)

Here, the parameter \( c \) indicates the class variable, \( F = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) \) arise for the object and the feature molecular descriptors (variables) of an object is represented by the \( (f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) \). \( P(c) \) is the main or minor probability that is fixed for all classes, \( P(F|c) \) and \( P(F) \) show the rear probability. In the classification, all molecular descriptors (attribute) releases the value of the class variable, such as:

\[
P(F|c) = P(f_1, f_2, ..., f_n|c) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(f_i|c)
\]

(2)

Then, classifier was represented as:
Naïve Bayes is the simplest form of Bayesian Network Classifier. Each node in Naïve Bayes has a node feature class as a parent but does not have a parent other features node [14][15]. Based on the fact Naïve Bayes is a method for classification [16]. This method can be divided into five main categories. They are structure extension, feature selection, feature weighting, local learning, and instance weighting [13].

The relationship between Naïve Bayes and classification, hypothesis correlation, and classification evidence is the hypotheses in Bayes' theorem which are target classified labels by mapping in classification, whereas evidence is the features to input into the classification model [10]. From previous research shows that Naïve Bayes has to use all the attributes contained in the data. Then, each of which will be analyzed to show the importance and independence of every attribute [17]. A large number of study show that such a surprisingly simple naïve classifier Bayesian can be competitive with state-of-the-art classifiers. By utilizing this method, several studies conducted based on weights of evidence [18][19][20][21][17]. There are many model approaches proposed to improve Naïve Bayes [12][13].

B. Decision Tree (J48)

J48 is an algorithm that is used to construct the decision trees and the modification result from C4.5 and ID3 algorithm [22]. This method is an open source java implementation in data mining tool that creates binary tree as part of C4.5 decision tree. J48 is simple C4.5 decision trees for prediction of the Decision Tree. Decision Tree is a useful approach in predicting problem [23][24][25]. J48 used the concept from information entropy. The entropy (E) is given by following Equation (4) in which \( pP \) and \( pN \) are the proportion of positive, negative (training) examples.

\[
E = -pP \times \log_2(pP) - pN \times \log_2(pN)
\] (4)

J48 ignores the missing values while building a tree. The item value based on what is known by the attribute values can be predicted for other records [23]. The basic idea from this algorithm is to divide data into range for the item that is found in the training sample based on attribute values and allow classification via either decision trees or rules generated [24]. The J48 algorithm can be seen in Fig. 1.

There are several previous researches that have been done by using this method. By using WEKA Tools, gradient images can be analyzed to recognize the individuality of characters [26], to implement the character detection system with an artificial neural network [27], to analyze the object with the histogram group calculated for all the pieces of a script and compare the distance between two different scripts with WEKA [28], and to calculate the typefaces with the geometrical arrangement to present a simple and quick approach for author detection [24][25].

J48 is experimented using various settings to train and obtain acceptable model accuracy. This method is simple to understand and interpret, which means people are able to understand this methods after a brief explanation. Among other data mining methods, J48 requires little data preparation and able to handle both numerical and categorical data [23].

C. Random Tree

Random Tree learns about a decision tree but only use a random subset of attributes for each split from available attributes. Random Tree is basic algorithm of the decision tree that collaborates with Quinlan C4.5 or Classification and Regression Trees (CART) to select random attribute before it is implemented with subset size that is determined by the part ratio parameter. This algorithm can build a decision tree using a portion of the data for training data and select the feature to cut the value that maximizes the gain of the information [29]. Random Tree is strong and easy to implement, produce highly accurate predictions.

Representation of data as a tree has advantages over other approaches. Data becomes more meaningful and easy to interpret. It can create a classification model that predicts the value of the label based on some input from the dataset sample attribute.

There are two stages of building Random Tree as follows:

1) Build independently of the training data. The feature and cut value of the training data will randomly be selected. Each stage have a requirement to select a new feature. The
result structure or called a tree skeleton will repeat until the tree achieves the specified depth [30].

2) Training data used to specify the appropriate value or classifications.

This method that can combine the large sets of random trees generally leads to accurate model. Random trees are generated efficiently, computed and scored for multiple tree skeletons. For example, combining the different trees into an ensemble as usual can make prediction done. There has been an extensive research in the recent years in the field of Machine Learning with Random Trees approach. Fig. 2 shows the training process of the random tree algorithm that is in the form of a simple summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Train (i),X,J,0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data: training set (S = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)), (X) is set of features (X = {x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n}), (F) is a feature descriptor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result: (N) random trees ((T_1, \ldots, T_N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For (i \in {1, \ldots, N}) do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BuildTreeStructure ((T, X))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For ((x, t) \in X) do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For (i \in {1, \ldots, N}) do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UpdateStatistics ((T, (x, t)))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return ((T_1, \ldots, T_N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Random Tree Algorithm [29]

Random Tree consists of two stages. The first step generates the Build Tree Structure of each dataset. Since the leaves does not contain class distribution, statistics are referred to the skeleton. However, the skeleton building requires only information about the feature instead of training data. The second step is Update Statistics. In this step, to compute class statistic for the leaf nodes uses the training data.

Cutting is a technique where leaf nodes that do not increase the discriminatory power of the decision tree are removed. This is to change a tree more specific or more fitting for a more general form to increase the prediction power on the invisible dataset. Post-cutting is a type of parallel pruning done for the tree-making process after the previous tree-making is completed.

D. Rough Set

Rough Set is one of the mathematical technique to manage uncertainty, ambiguity and vagueness of Artificial Intelligence (AI) application. This method is efficient technique for Knowledge Discovery of Database (KDD) in data mining. In general, Rough Set defined as a theory attracts much attention of researchers and practitioners who contribute to the development of applications [31]. This method was initiated by Pawlak in 1982 and can be claimed as similar to the Fuzzy Set Theory [32]. It can be used to find relationships in data and extract the knowledge from the data itself. This knowledge from extraction of dataset in the form of rule will easily be understood and meaningful.

Rough Set offers two element of data representation that is Information System (IS) and Decision System (DS). An information system is a set of object \(U\) and Conditional attribute \(A\) that is set of the example and condition attribute sequentially. In many applications, decision of classification is represented by a Decision Attribute \(C\). Decision system is a collection of dataset consists of \(m\) object and \(n\) attribute with the decision attribute therefore information system is \((IS) = (U, \{A, C\})\). In general, the important concept of Rough Set is Discerning Object, such as indiscernibility, equivalence class, discernibility matrix, and reduct [33][34].

1) Indiscernibility Relation. A set of objects that have the same decision value \(DS = (U, \{A, C\})\).

2) Equivalence Class. Grouping the same objects for attributes \(A \in (U, A)\).

3) Discernibility Matrix. Discernibility is a central point of Rough Set Theory. It uncovers a fact that says knowledge is not enough to discern multiple objects by using existing information [35]. The discernibility matrix is generated when discernibility function can be defined. The discernibility function is a Boolean function (true or false) that constructed for each object.

4) Reduct is selecting attributes from a set of attribute conditions using prime implicant with boolean function. A collection of all prime implicants determines sets of reduct. It can simplify the functions and minimize the solution. Rules will be generated from reduct are representative rule extracted from the dataset. Since a reduct is not unique, rule sets generated from different reduct contain different sets of rules.

According to previous research, Rough Set is contrast to other techniques because main part of Rough Set can identify with minimal subset of conditional attribute that has properties which is similar discernible complete attribute set [35][36]. Rough Set has been used in many application such as medicine, banking, decision analysis, image processing, and pharmacology. Rough Set has also been successfully applied in various fields, such as decision-making, data mining, machine learning, and pattern acknowledge [2][34].

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

This research investigates the performance comparison of the prediction methods. There are four prediction methods that are used to extract the knowledge from air pollution data to provide the useful information. The methods are Naïve Bayes, Random Tree, J48, and Rough Set. The tools used to analyze is Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis (WEKA). The steps of analytic process for air pollution data are illustrated in Fig. 3.
The proposed scheme developed from a previous scheme [38]. The basic steps from this schema are data collection, pre-data processing and data extraction, pattern discovery, and validation and interpretation. The input of this study is API dataset and the output is the value of classification accuracy result and training error result.

A. Data Collection

This study uses two datasets. Air Pollutant Index (API) data from Malaysia and Singapore from 2014 until 2015. The data taken from Malaysian Government Open Data about API (http://data.gov.my/) and Singapore Government Pollutant Standard Index (http://www.haze.gov.sg/). The total of the dataset is 245,753 data. The API dataset contains dataset number, date, state, region, and API value in CSV format. The reading of API is a common and easiest method to describe the status of air quality. The API value and air pollution standard value is directly proportional, the higher API values indicates the higher values of air quality.

B. Data Pre-processing

Before mining process starts, there are three steps for pre-process data which are selection data, cleaning data, and transformation data. Selection data is selecting the set of data, creating the data target and focus on the sample data. The cleaning process includes removing duplication of data, checking inconsistent data, and fixing data errors (e.g. typographic errors). Moreover, data also needs enrichment or what is called transformation data. Data can be enriched with other relevant information. In this study, the API dataset enriches the decision attribute. Table I shows the API dataset from Malaysia and Singapore government open data.

**TABLE I. THE SAMPLE OF API DATASET FOR MALAYSIA AND SINGAPORE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>API</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25/11/2014</td>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>Pulau</td>
<td>Pinang</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25/11/2014</td>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>Kelantan</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-processed, analyzed, and transformed data represent air pollution data in appropriate format and useful for assisting the next process, data mining process.

C. Pattern Discovery

Data mining was used to analyze and extract knowledge automatically with one or many methods for air pollutant data to provide the useful, effective, and relevant information. It can show the areas that have a good condition or not. This information can also be used to predict the future air quality condition in all areas. There are four methods that were implemented in this study, which are Naïve Bayes, Random Tree, J48, and Rough Set. In order to investigate the dataset by using the tools this method is applied. WEKA is a tool for running the dataset. WEKA run the data for Naïve Bayes, Random Tree, J48, Rough Set algorithm. Evaluation selected of classifier is used 10-fold cross validation. It is also used based on the amount of data and suggested by WEKA.

D. Result and Discussion

Evaluation phase is evaluating one or more performance of methods to get better quality and effectiveness method. It will help to choose the proper prediction method to investigate air pollution data for Malaysia and Singapore and also will be implemented in mobile analytic database summarization proposed work. In order to verify the performance of proposed methods, it used a statistical validation. The experiment results of this phase are shown in Table II and Table III. Table II shows the result based on correctly and incorrectly classified instances, the time taken, and kappa statistic.

**TABLE II. CLASSIFICATION ACCURACY RESULT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Correctly Classified Instances (%)</th>
<th>Incorrectly Classified Instances (%)</th>
<th>Time Taken (sec)</th>
<th>Kappa Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naïve Bayes</td>
<td>87.4662</td>
<td>12.5338</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.7202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Tree</td>
<td>86.9857</td>
<td>13.0143</td>
<td>0.3900</td>
<td>0.6904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J48</td>
<td>91.0218</td>
<td>8.9782</td>
<td>0.5500</td>
<td>0.7934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough Set</td>
<td>97.1000</td>
<td>2.9000</td>
<td>92.3000</td>
<td>0.9275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From Table II and Fig. 4, we can see that Rough Set algorithm has the higher accuracy with 97.10% than other methods. But execution time of Rough Set is the slowest one. The fastest execution time is Naïve Bayes with 0.06 second. Even though Naïve Bayes has fastest time taken but the accuracy is lower than Rough Set. To evaluate the accuracy of any measurement that usually differentiate data collected reliability and validity, kappa statistic is used. The average of kappa statistic is 0.8-0.9, it shows substantial value. The visualization with graph of the accuracy methods depicted in Fig. 4.

Table III shows the classification result based on error during execution process of testing data. In the Table III, we can see the mean absolute error, root mean squared error, relative absolute error, and root relative squared error. The lower error rate is more preferable. The method which has the lower error rate has powerful classification capability and ability.

Table III. Training Error Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Mean Absolute Error (%)</th>
<th>Root Mean Squared Error (%)</th>
<th>Relative Absolute Error (%)</th>
<th>Root Relative Squared Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naïve Bayes</td>
<td>0.0979</td>
<td>0.2311</td>
<td>34.8059</td>
<td>61.6232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Tree</td>
<td>0.0926</td>
<td>0.2643</td>
<td>32.9173</td>
<td>70.4588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J48</td>
<td>0.0801</td>
<td>0.2023</td>
<td>28.4682</td>
<td>53.9315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough Set</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
<td>0.1390</td>
<td>7.3202</td>
<td>38.263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the result of classifications that have been done, Rough Set has the highest accuracy compared to other methods but Rough Set has a lowest execution time. Rough Set can be the best method to analyze the air pollution data. This method can also generate the rules that is more efficient, relevant and accurate.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study has been successfully to compare the performance of different data mining methods, which are Naïve Bayes, Random Tree, J48, and Rough Set. These methods can classify the Air Pollutant Index (API) from Malaysia and Singapore datasets. It can help to extract the knowledge of data, to predict the future of the data, and possible to make the right decision concerning safety and health of the population. The best performance classification is Rough Set that has the highest accuracy but has the lowest error.

In the future work, we will combine the selected method to other proper methods that can maximize the data processing with a large amount of data. It will give better results, faster execution time, more accurate, and more effective.
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